

DOI: 10.1002/ange.201409635

Structure of Framework Aluminum Lewis Sites and Perturbed Aluminum Atoms in Zeolites as Determined by ²⁷Al{¹H} REDOR (3Q) MAS NMR Spectroscopy and DFT/Molecular Mechanics**

Jiří Brus, Libor Kobera, Wolfgang Schoefberger, Martina Urbanová, Petr Klein, Petr Sazama, Edyta Tabor, Stepan Sklenak, Anna V. Fishchuk, and Jiří Dědeček*

Abstract: Zeolites are highly important heterogeneous catalysts. Besides Brønsted SiOHAl acid sites, also framework Al_{FR} Lewis acid sites are often found in their H-forms. The formation of Al_{FR} Lewis sites in zeolites is a key issue regarding their selectivity in acid-catalyzed reactions. The local structures of Al_{FR} Lewis sites in dehydrated zeolites and their precursors—"perturbed" AlFR atoms in hydrated zeolites-were studied by high-resolution MAS NMR and FTIR spectroscopy and DFT/MM calculations. Perturbed framework Al atoms correspond to (SiO)3AlOH groups and are characterized by a broad ^{27}Al NMR resonance ($\delta_i = 59$ – 62 ppm, $C_0 = 5$ MHz, and $\eta = 0.3$ –0.4) with a shoulder at 40 ppm in the ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectrum. Dehydroxylation of (SiO)₃AlOH occurs at mild temperatures and leads to the formation of Al_{FR} Lewis sites tricoordinated to the zeolite framework. Al atoms of these (SiO)3Al Lewis sites exhibit an extremely broad ²⁷Al NMR resonance ($\delta_i \approx 67$ ppm, C_O \approx 20 MHz, and $\eta \approx$ 0.1).

Zeolites are crystalline microporous aluminosilicates made of corner-sharing TO₄ tetrahedra (T=Si, Al⁻). Protons and metal cations balance the charge of framework AlO₄⁻ tetrahedra. H-forms of zeolites are widely used industrial heterogeneous acid catalysts applied in oil processing, pet-

[*] Dr. J. Brus, L. Kobera, Dr. M. Urbanová
Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Heyrovsky sq. 2, 162 06 Prague 6 (Czech Republic)
Dr. W. Schoefberger
Johannes Kepler University Linz
Altenbergerstrasse 69, 4040 Linz (Austria)
Dr. M. Urbanová, P. Klein, Dr. P. Sazama, Dr. E. Tabor, Dr. S. Sklenak, Dr. A. V. Fishchuk, Dr. J. Dědeček
J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Dolejškova 3, 182 23 Prague 8 (Czech Republic)
E-mail: dedecek@jh-inst.cas.cz

[**] This research was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GA 14-10251S and GA 13-24155S), RVO:61388955, and RVO:61389013. Part of the research was carried out with the support of core facilities of CEITEC—Central European Institute of Technology through the CEITEC open-access project (ID number LM2011020), funded by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic ("Projects of Major Infrastructures for Research, Development, and Innovations"). MAS = magic-angle spinning.



Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201409635.

rochemistry, and the production of fine chemicals. Besides Brønsted acid SiOHAl groups formed by protons compensating tetrahedral AlO₄⁻, also electron-pair-acceptor Lewis sites related to framework (Al_{FR}) and extraframework (Al_{EF}) atoms are often present in zeolite catalysts.[1] The detailed structure and origin of Al Lewis sites are not clear despite their importance, since these sites affect the selectivity of transformations of hydrocarbons and cause the formation of undesired polyaromatic deposits. Besides tetrahedral Al_{FR} (²⁷Al NMR resonances at 50-60 ppm), octahedral Al_{EF} (between -10 and 5 ppm), pentacoordinated Al_{EF} (around 30 ppm), and some "NMR-invisible" Al_{EF} atoms with highly distorted coordination, most zeolites (namely, those of industrial provenance) also contain "perturbed" Al_{FR} atoms reflected in a broad shoulder at around 40 ppm in the ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of hydrated samples (see Figures 1 and 2 as well as the Supporting Information).^[2]

There is an ongoing discussion regarding the presence, structure, and origin of Al Lewis sites created in catalytic processes performed over dehydrated H-zeolites at high temperatures and under an atmosphere without any water vapor. IR spectroscopy with adsorbed bases provided only indirect evidence of the formation of both Al_{FR} and Al_{EF} Lewis sites in various zeolite structures. [2b,3] Only a K-edge XAS study indicated the presence of a low amount (10% of Al at 700 °C) of tricoordinated Al_{FR} attributable to Al_{FR} Lewis sites in dehydrated β-zeolites.^[4] The formation of Al_{EE} atoms can be prevented by zeolite synthesis under appropriate conditions and further processing. However, there is very little knowledge regarding the local structure of Al_{FR} Lewis sites and the mechanism of their creation. The development of high-resolution solid-state NMR spectroscopy and the ability to carry out reliable DFT/molecular-mechanics (MM) calculations that enable interpretation of the results of NMR spectroscopic experiments opened a route for the structural analysis of perturbed framework Al atoms and the corresponding Al Lewis sites.

²⁷Al{¹H} REDOR (rotational-echo double-resonance) 3Q MAS, ²⁷Al 3Q MAS, and ²⁷Al{¹H} CP 3Q MAS (cross-polarization triple-quantum magic-angle-spinning) NMR spectroscopic experiments on zeolites with the FER and CHA structures (which do not contain Al_{EF} atoms), coupled with DFT/MM calculations of the model Si-rich CHA structure and FTIR spectroscopic measurements of H-FER, show that "perturbed" Al_{FR} atoms in hydrated zeolites and zeolites dehydrated at 300 °C correspond to terminal tetrahedral (SiO)₃AlOH entities tricoordinated to the zeolite frame-



work. The presence of these atoms is reflected by a broad resonance with an isotropic chemical shift δ_i = 59–62 ppm, $C_{\rm O}$ = 5 MHz, and η = 0.3–0.4. The O–H stretching mode of (SiO)₃AlOH in the IR spectrum lies at 3655 cm⁻¹, and the ¹H chemical shift of the corresponding hydrogen atom is 1.6 ppm. Both of these values are similar to those already suggested for extraframework AlOH species.

The dehydroxylation of AlOH, followed by the formation of Al_{FR} Lewis sites, occurs upon heating the samples at high temperature (above 350 °C), as evidenced by FTIR spectroscopy of [D₃]acetonitrile adsorbed on the zeolite. The structure of the Al_{FR} Lewis sites with the Al_{FR} atoms tricoordinated to the zeolite framework was suggested by the results of 1) the ²⁷Al{¹H} REDOR experiments on H-FER (extremely broad ²⁷Al NMR resonance with $\delta \approx 67$ ppm, $C_Q \approx 20$ MHz, and $\eta \approx 0.1$), 2) DFT/MM modeling, and 3) FTIR spectroscopy of adsorbed [D₃]acetonitrile at the Al_{FR} Lewis sites.

²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of Na-FER/A-hy and Na-FER/ B-hy exhibited only one resonance typically observed for tetrahedrally coordinated Al in the framework, and not the broad resonance indicative of perturbed Al atoms that was observed for Na-FER/C-hy (see the Supporting Information). The ²⁷Al{¹H} REDOR 3Q MAS NMR and ²⁷Al 3Q MAS NMR spectra for hydrated Na-FER/C-hy and Ca-CHA zeolites are compared in Figures 1 and 2. Both zeolites exhibited two ²⁷Al resonances. The first is the high-intensity central resonance of the tetrahedral Al_{FR} atoms in CHA (δ_i = 55.8 ppm, C_Q (quadrupolar splitting) = 2.8 MHz) and FER $(\delta_i = 59.0 \text{ ppm}, C_Q = 2.3 \text{ MHz})$. This signal is unaffected by ¹H} REDOR dipolar dephasing, as Al_{FR} atoms are balanced by solvated mobile extraframework cations surrounded by water molecules. The second is the broad resonance of the "perturbed" Al atoms reflected in the ²⁷AlMAS NMR




Figure 1. Top: 27 Al 3Q (black), 27 Al{ 1 H} REDOR 3Q (red), and 27 Al{ 1 H} CP 3Q MAS NMR spectra (blue) and the F1 and F2 projections of the hydrated Na-FER/C-hy zeolite; bottom: 27 Al MAS and 27 Al CP MAS NMR spectra of the hydrated Na-FER/C-hy zeolite, and a slice of the 27 Al 3Q MAS NMR spectrum at F1 = 68 ppm (black) and its simulation (red).




Figure 2. Top: 27 Al 3Q (black), 27 Al 1 H} REDOR 3Q (red), and 27 Al 1 H} CP 3Q MAS NMR spectra (blue) and the F1 and F2 projections of the hydrated Ca-CHA zeolite; bottom: 27 Al MAS and 27 Al CP MAS NMR spectra of the hydrated Ca-CHA zeolite, and a slice of the 27 Al 3Q MAS NMR spectrum at F1 = 69 ppm (black) and its simulation (red).

spectrum as a shoulder around 40 ppm overlapping with the intense narrow resonance of Al_{FR} atoms (Figures 1 and 2; see also the Supporting Information and Ref. [2a]). The broad resonance is of low intensity, asymmetrical, and elongated along the anisotropy axis, with the second-order C_0 value ranging up to 5.5 MHz, thus indicating a considerably larger electric-field gradient as compared to that of the Al_{FR} atoms. Moreover, the complete suppression of the broad resonance by {1H} REDOR dephasing clearly indicates strong dipolar interactions between the perturbed AlFR atoms and the neighboring protons, which are significantly immobilized by chemical bonds. Their strong mutual interaction is confirmed by a marked relative increase in their signal in the ²⁷Al{¹H} CP 3Q MAS NMR spectrum owing to a strong dipolar coupling (Figures 1 and 2). The NMR spectroscopic parameters obtained for the "perturbed" AlFR atoms by the simulation of ²⁷Al 3Q MAS NMR spectra are listed in Table 1.

Values of δ_i of around 60 ppm are typical for AlO_4^- tetrahedra in a zeolite environment.^[6] The significant broad-

Table 1: 27 Al NMR spectroscopic parameters of perturbed Al_{FR} atoms and Al atoms of Al_{FR} Lewis sites in Na- and H-FER/C and Ca-CHA zeolites, and calculated 27 Al NMR spectroscopic parameters of (SiO)₃AlOH and (SiO)₃Al atoms in CHA.

Sample	Perturbed Al _{FR}			Al_{FR}	Al _{FR} Lewis sites		
	$oldsymbol{\delta}_{i}$	C_{Q}	η	$oldsymbol{\delta}_{i}$	C_{Q}	η	
	[ppm]	[MHz]		[ppm]	[MHz]		
Na-FER/C-hy	58.9	5.3	0.38				
H-FER/C-300	58.9	5.3	0.38				
H-FER/C-450				67	20	0.1	
Ca-CHA	62.4	4.8	0.30				
calculation	66.9	4.1	0.32	75	35	0.1	

ening of the NMR resonance reveals negligible mobility of the Al species immobilized in the zeolite framework. Conversely, the tetrahedral Al_{EF} species formed during zeolite desilication in alkaline media are mobile and therefore exhibit a narrow symmetrical signal, [7] and Al_{EF} species created upon steaming show an octahedral Al signal or are NMR-invisible.^[8] Thus, a terminal (SiO)₃AlOH group, an analogue of silanol groups well-known in zeolites, [9] could explain the nature of the "perturbed" Al_{FR} atoms. We calculated the NMR spectroscopic parameters (SiO)₃AlOH in the model of the Si-rich CHA by using the QMPOT approach in analogy with our calculations for SiOH in zeolites $^{\left[5a\right]}$ to verify this assumption. The sound agreement between the computed and experimental values of δ_i , C_0 , and η of Al in (SiO)₃AlOH in CHA and FER (Table 1) confirms the attribution of the perturbed AlFR atoms to terminal (SiO)₃AlOH species (Figure 3). This assignment is supported by the presence of the IR vibration at 3655 cm⁻¹ assigned to the O-H stretching mode of terminal (SiO)₂AlOH in the spectrum of H-FER/C-300 dehydrated at 300°C (see the Supporting Information).




Figure 3. Structure of a terminal $(SiO)_3AIOH$ group in the CHA zeolite with the atoms corresponding to O_3AIOH displayed as balls. Silicon atoms are gray, the aluminum atom is yellow, oxygen atoms are red, and the hydrogen atom is white.

This IR band was suggested to belong to extraframework AlOH species, the presence of which is also often reflected in ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra. ^[10] ²⁷Al (3Q) MAS (Figure 4) and ¹H MAS NMR spectroscopic experiments (see the Supporting Information) were carried out on the H-FER/C-300 sample, which was dehydrated under the same conditions as those used for the sample examined in the FTIR experiment, to confirm the assignment of the IR band at 3655 cm⁻¹ to framework AlOH species. The ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of dehydrated H-FER/C-300 exhibited four ²⁷Al resonances (three of which were also observed in the ²⁷Al 3Q MAS NMR spectrum): a narrow peak due to tetrahedral framework Al atoms (Al^{IV}₁ with $\delta_i = 56$ ppm, $C_Q = 3.5$ MHz, and $\eta = 0.5$, 67% of Al); a broad resonance due to framework tetrahedral (SiO)₃AlOH groups (Al^{IV}₂ with $\delta_i = 58.9$ ppm, $C_Q = 5.3$ MHz, and $\eta = 0.38, 1.6\%$ of Al); a signal attributable to extraframework pentacoordinated Al species (Al^V with $\delta_i = 26 \text{ ppm}$, $C_0 = 1.7$ MHz, and $\eta = 1.0$, 0.4% of Al); and an extremely broad resonance, which has not been described previously and which was attributed to trigonal framework Al atoms




Figure 4. Top: ²⁷Al 3Q MAS NMR spectrum with the F1 and F2 projections of the H-FER/C-300 zeolite dehydrated at 300°C; bottom: ²⁷Al MAS NMR single-pulse spectrum of the H-FER/C-300 zeolite dehydrated at 300°C with its simulation and individual components.

 $(\delta_i = 65 \text{ ppm}, C_Q = 20 \text{ MHz}, \text{ and } \eta = 0.1, 31\% \text{ of Al; see}$ details below). The ¹H MAS NMR spectrum of the dehydrated H-FER/C-300 sample (see the Supporting Information) showed, besides resonances of silanols (at 0.8 ppm) and bridging AlOHSi groups (at 3.3 and 5.9 ppm), also a signal at 1.6 ppm, which was previously assigned to extraframework AlOH species. However, our ²⁷Al (3Q) MAS NMR spectroscopic experiments revealed only negligible dealumination of the dehydrated H-FER/C-300 sample (up to 0.4% of Al). Therefore, the ¹H NMR resonance at 1.6 ppm and the IR band at 3655 cm⁻¹ correspond to both framework tetrahedral (SiO)₃AlOH groups and OH groups of extraframework Al species, and they cannot be distinguished from each other.

Our DFT/MM calculations also show that the proton in (SiO)₃AlOH species is strongly bound to the oxygen atom, since Al_{FR}O₄ possesses a negative charge of -1. Unlike the proton of SiOHAl groups, it is not replaced by cations during metal-ion exchange. Note that the analysis of (SiO)₃AlOH by ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectroscopy is significantly limited by the only 25% difference in the intensity between the Si(3 Si,1 Al) and Si(3 Si,1 AlOH) NMR signals. ^[12] Also, the application of ²⁷Al single-pulse MAS NMR spectroscopy considerably underestimates the concentration of (SiO)₃AlOH groups owing to the overlap of their resonance with that of the framework Al atoms.

We propose that (SiO) $_3$ AlOH entities of hydrated zeolites are precursors for the formation of Al $_{\rm FR}$ Lewis sites in Hzeolites upon high-temperature treatment. The concentrations of Brønsted acid SiOHAl sites and Al $_{\rm FR}$ Lewis sites in dehydrated H-FER/C-450 (the sample containing perturbed Al $_{\rm FR}$ atoms that was dehydrated at 450 °C) were compared with those in H-FER/A-450 and H-FER/B-450, which






Figure 5. FTIR spectra of $[D_3]$ acetonitrile adsorbed on the H-FER/A-450, H-FER/B-450, and H-FER/C-450 samples dehydrated at 450 °C, with simulated H-FER/C spectra.

exhibited exclusively tetrahedrally coordinated Al_{FR} atoms; note that H-CHA is not a stable structure. FTIR spectra of adsorbed CD_3CN on the FER/A-C-450 samples dehydrated at 450 °C and simulated spectra are shown in Figure 5. The bands at 2323, 2296, 2282, and 2252 cm⁻¹ correspond to $C\equiv N$ interaction with the Al_{FR} Lewis sites, SiOHAI, residual Na^+ ions, and physisorbed CD_3CN , respectively. The formation of a low concentration of Al Lewis sites in H-FER/A-450 and H-FER/B-450, which do not contain $(SiO)_3AIOH$ in the hydrated state, is explained by the partial dehydroxylation of two Brønsted SiOHAI groups [13,14] (see Table 2).

Table 2: Concentration of Al Lewis sites (L) and Brønsted SiOHAl sites (B) in dehydrated H-FER at 450° C from FTIR spectra of adsorbed CD₃CN at room temperature.

Sample	$L^{[a]}$	$B^{[a]}$	L/(L+B)	$Al^{[a]}$	$2L+B^{[a]}$
H-FER/A-450	0.18	1.21	0.13	1.75	1.57
H-FER/B-450	0.04	0.34	0.11	0.60	0.42
H-FER/C-450	0.35	0.97	0.27	1.75	1.67

[a] The values are given in mmol g⁻¹.

Substantially greater formation of Al_{FR} Lewis sites in the dehydrated H-FER/C-450 is coupled with the presence of $(SiO)_3AlOH$ groups and their dehydroxylation to $(SiO)_3Al$. Both mechanisms of formation of Al_{FR} Lewis sites are supported by the mass balance close to $B+2L=Al_{FR}$. The tricoordinated framework $(SiO)_3Al$ atoms serve as the Al_{FR} Lewis electron-pair-acceptor sites in the zeolite. To confirm this suggestion, we calculated the ^{27}Al NMR parameters of $(SiO)_3Al$ in the Si-rich CHA model and compared them with the experimental values for the dehydrated H-FER/C-450 sample $(Table\ 1)$. The marked broadening of the ^{27}Al NMR signal of $(SiO)_3Al$ can be overcome by an increase in the intensity of the excitation field $(700\ MHz)$ and an increase in acquisition time $(1\ day)$. The ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectrum of the dehydrated H-FER/B-450 sample exhibited one ^{27}Al reso-




Figure 6. ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of dehydrated H-FER/B-450 (dotted line) and H-FER/C-450 (solid line), and simulation of the H-FER/C spectrum (dashed line).

nance corresponding to Al_{FR} (δ_i = 56 ppm, C_Q = 3.5 MHz, and η = 0.5; Figure 6).

In contrast, besides the Al_{FR} resonance, the spectrum of the dehydrated H-FER/C-450 sample also contained a very broad signal with δ_i =67 ppm, C_Q =20 MHz, and η =0.1 (Figure 6 and Table 1). Taking into the account the difference between the model for the calculation of Al_{FR} Lewis sites (CHA structure, Si/Al 35) and the investigated sample (H-FER, Si/Al 10) as well as the inaccuracy of the estimation of the NMR parameters of an extremely broad signal, the experimental data fit well with the theoretical prediction. Thus, the broad resonance in the ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectrum of dehydrated H-FER/C-450 can be attributed to the Al_{FR} Lewis sites. The Al atom of the (SiO)₃Al structure of the Al_{FR} Lewis sites is tricoordinated to the framework, exhibits threefold symmetry, and is located on the top of a nearly flat trigonal pyramid with a base of framework oxygen atoms (Figure 7).

The structure of $(SiO)_3Al$ Al_{FR} Lewis sites, formed predominantly by the dehydroxylation of $(SiO)_3AlOH$ precursors at mild temperatures, also serves as a reasonable model for the dehydroxylation of more stable Brønsted SiOHAl groups in H-zeolites at high temperature. To prevent the formation of Al_{FR} Lewis sites in H-zeolites dehydrated at




Figure 7. Structure of $(SiO)_3Al$ at the Al_{FR} Lewis sites in the CHA zeolite with the atoms corresponding to O_3Al displayed as balls. Silicon atoms are gray, the aluminum atom is yellow, and oxygen atoms are red.

mild temperatures, the formation of defective terminal (SiO)₃AlOH groups should be, if not excluded, at least minimized during the synthesis of the zeolite.

Experimental Section

A FER/A zeolite (Si/Al 8.5:1; Tosoh Corporation), a FER/B zeolite (Si/Al 27:1; Zeolyst International, Inc.), a FER/C zeolite (Si/Al 8.5:1; UNIPETROL, a.s., Czech Republic), and a CHA zeolite (Si/Al 2.4:1; natural zeolite, Sebuzin, Czech Republic) were investigated by a combination of ²⁷Al MAS NMR and FTIR spectroscopy. Calculations of the local Al atom structure and the NMR spectroscopic parameters on the basis of a model of Si-rich CHA (Si/Al 35:1) were performed with the QMPOT and Gaussian programs, respectively. ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of the hydrated zeolites and the dehydrated H-FER/C-300 sample (dehydrated at 300°C) were recorded on an 11.7 T Bruker Avance 500 WB/US spectrometer. Triple-quantum (2D) ²⁷Al 3Q MAS NMR spectra were recorded with a z-filtered three-pulse sequence with a DR 4 mm probe head; ²⁷Al{¹H} REDOR 3Q MAS NMR spectra were recorded with a double-resonance 3.2 mm probe head. ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of dehydrated H-FER/ B-450 and H-FER/C-450 (dehydrated at 450°C) were recorded on a 16.4 T Bruker Avance III 700 SB NMR spectrometer with a DR 4 mm probe head. FTIR spectra of the OH groups of H-FER/B-300 and H-FER/C-300 dehydrated at 300°C and of adsorbed [D₃]acetonitrile on H-FER/A-450, H-FER/B-450, and FER/C-450 dehydrated at 450°C were recorded on a Nexus 670 spectrometer with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. For details of samples and experiments, see the Supporting Information.

Received: September 30, 2014 Published online: November 13, 2014

Keywords: aluminum · density functional calculations · Lewis acids · NMR spectroscopy · zeolites

[1] a) I. Kiricsi, C. Flego, G. Pazzuconi, W. O. Parker, R. Millini, C. Perego, G. Bellussi, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 4627-4634; b) J. Cejka, B. Wichterlova, Catal. Rev. 2002, 44, 375-421.

- [2] a) P. Sazama, B. Wichterlova, J. Dedecek, Z. Tvaruzkova, Z. Musilova, L. Palumbo, S. Sklenak, O. Gonsiorova, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2011, 143, 87–96; b) F. Collignon, P. A. Jacobs, P. Grobet, G. Poncelet, J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 6812–6816; c) L. H. Ong, M. Doemoek, R. Olindo, A. C. van Veen, J. A. Lercher, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2012, 164, 9–20.
- [3] a) A. Zecchina, S. Bordiga, G. Spoto, D. Scarano, G. Petrini, G. Leofanti, M. Padovan, C. O. Arean, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1992, 88, 2959–2969; b) S. B. Hong, S. J. Kim, Y. S. Uh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8102–8110.
- [4] J. A. van Bokhoven, A. M. J. van der Eerden, D. C. Koningsberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7435 – 7442.
- [5] a) J. Dedecek, S. Sklenak, C. Li, F. Gao, J. Brus, Q. Zhu, T. Tatsumi, J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 14454-14466; b) J. Dedecek, M. J. Lucero, C. Li, F. Gao, P. Klein, M. Urbanova, Z. Tvaruzkova, P. Sazama, S. Sklenak, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 11056-11064; c) S. Sklenak, P. C. Andrikopoulos, S. R. Whittleton, H. Jirglova, P. Sazama, L. Benco, T. Bucko, J. Hafner, Z. Sobalik, J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117, 3958-3968.
- [6] K. J. D. Mackenzie, M. E. Smith, Multinuclear Solid State NMR of Inorganic Materials, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [7] P. Sazama, Z. Sobalik, J. Dedecek, I. Jakubec, V. Parvulescu, Z. Bastl, J. Rathousky, H. Jirglova, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2038–2041; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 2092–2095.
- [8] a) E. Bourgeat-Lami, P. Massiani, F. Direnzo, P. Espiau, F. Fajula, T. Des Courières, Appl. Catal. 1991, 72, 139–152; b) D. Freude, H. Ernst, I. Wolf, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1994, 3, 271–286
- [9] M. Hunger, J. Karger, H. Pfeifer, J. Caro, B. Zibrowius, M. Bulow, R. Mostowicz, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 1987, 83, 3459–3468.
- [10] J. Kanellopoulos, A. Unger, W. Schwieger, D. Freude, J. Catal. 2006, 237, 416–425.
- [11] H. Ernst, D. Freude, I. Wolf, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1993, 212, 588–596.
- [12] C. A. Fyfe, Y. Feng, H. Grondey, G. T. Kokotailo, H. Gies, *Chem. Rev.* 1991, 91, 1525–1543.
- [13] B. Wichterlová, Z. Tvaruzková, Z. Sobalík, P. Sarv, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 1998, 24, 223–233.
- [14] D. Ma, F. Deng, R. Q. Fu, X. W. Dan, X. H. Bao, J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 1770-1779.